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Enantioselective $\alpha$-deprotonation-rearrangement of achiral substituted cyclooctene oxides 7, 27 and $\mathbf{2 8}$ and $N$-Boc hexahydroazonine oxide $\mathbf{4 5}$ using organolithiums in the presence of $(-)$-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$ or ( - - $\alpha$-isosparteine $\mathbf{4}$ gives the functionalised bicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2-ols 9, 29, and $\mathbf{3 2}$ and indolizinol $\mathbf{4 7}$ in $50-72 \%$ yields and $83-89 \%$ ees.

## Introduction

Enantioselective desymmetrisation of achiral materials is an attractive and powerful concept in asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ mesoEpoxides represent an important class of substrates for new desymmetrisation methodologies, ${ }^{1,2}$ and base-induced enantioselective rearrangements of such epoxides are a focus of current interest. ${ }^{3}$ The $\alpha$-deprotonation transannular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion chemistry of cyclooctene oxide 1 was originally investigated by Cope and subsequently studied further by Whitesell and by Boeckman. ${ }^{4}$ We recently reported an asymmetric variant of this process for the synthesis of fused ring systems by enantioselective $\alpha$-deprotonation of achiral medium-sized cycloalkene oxides. ${ }^{5}$ This method uses a secondary organolithium in combination with a chiral ligand such as ( - -sparteine $3^{6}$ or (-)- $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 (Fig. 1) to give bicyclic alcohols such as $\mathbf{2}$ in good yields and ees ( $77-84 \%$ ee, Scheme 1).


In our original work, unsubstituted cycloalkene oxides were examined which only generate a single functional group in the bicyclic products. ${ }^{5}$ Two strategies to enhance the utility of this transformation would be to examine substituted cycloalkene and heterocycloalkene-derived achiral epoxides. Here we detail our studies concerning the synthesis of such epoxides, by elaboration of readily available cycloocta-1,5-diene $\mathbf{5}$, and their rearrangement chemistry. ${ }^{7}$

## Results and discussion

In the first strategy, the rearrangement of substituted cycloalkene oxides was anticipated to lead to bicyclo[3.3.0]octanes with functionality in each ring suitable for the stereocontrolled assembly of more complex structures, and thus would provide versatile intermediates, particularly for polycyclopentanoid synthesis. ${ }^{8}$
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Fig. $1(-)$-Sparteine 3 and ( - )- $\alpha$-isosparteine 4
Epoxidation of the known alkene 6, ${ }^{9}$ available from cycloocta-1,5-diene 5 by dihydroxylation ${ }^{10}$ and subsequent protection, resulted in exclusive formation of epoxide $7(97 \%)$, assigned as the all cis compound (vide infra, Scheme 2). We now


Scheme 2 Reagent and conditions: i, cat. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, THF : acetone : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; iii, MCPBA, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.
prefer an alternative route to epoxide 7 via epoxydiol 8 . Monoepoxidation ${ }^{11}$ of cycloocta-1,5-diene 5 proceeds to give a 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{5}$ and the monoepoxide ( $54 \%, 100 \%$ based on recovered 5) which is easily separated by distillation. No diepoxide was observed despite treatment with a slight excess of MCPBA. Dihydroxylation with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-\mathrm{NMO}$ gives, after isolation by continuous extraction, epoxydiol $\mathbf{8}^{12}(73 \%)$. Protection of epoxydiol 8 with TBDMSCl gives epoxide 7 in near quantitative yield. This latter route is more efficient than the approach via alkene 6 and uses less $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$.

That the desired transannular rearrangement of epoxide 7 would occur by analogy to cyclooctene oxide 1 (cf., Scheme 1) was not a forgone conclusion. The relatively bulky TBDMSO substituents in epoxide 7 could impede epoxide lithiation, or once lithiation had taken place their steric demands might prevent the transannular chemistry from occurring. The epoxide 7 also has a potential complication with regard to restricted rotation of the bond between the carbon atoms that are attached to the OTBDMS groups. To interconvert between low energy (enantiomeric) conformations the TBDMSO groups are
required to suffer an unfavourable eclipsing interaction. The interconversion of enantiomeric conformations was observed to be slow, at least on a $500 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time-scale. In a spectrum acquired at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the $\mathrm{C}(H)$ OTBDMS protons were observed as two broad singlets ( $\delta 3.75$ and 4.05 ppm in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene). Further spectra were acquired at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which temperature the peaks coalesced to give a broad singlet at 3.90 ppm . The observation that the peaks coalesce at a chemical shift halfway between them is consistent with a $1: 1$ mixture of conformers (which must be the case for enantiomeric conformers). The standard conformations of eight-membered rings are not normally equally populated. ${ }^{13}$ Further heating to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharpened the peak ( $3.95 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Applying the relevant formulae ${ }^{14}$ indicates the barrier to exchange $\left[\Delta G^{\ddagger}\right.$ $\left.\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]=64 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and the rate of exchange $\left[k_{\text {int }}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]=$ 293 Hz . For cyclooctene oxide 1 the barrier to interconversion between the (enantiomeric) boat-chair conformations $\left[\Delta G^{\ddagger}\left(-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]=33 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and the rate of exchange $\left[k_{\text {int }}\right.$ $\left.\left(-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]=13 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{15} \mathrm{At}$ the low reaction temperatures required for a good level of enantioselectivity in the $\alpha$-deprotonation of epoxides, ${ }^{5}$ the presence of chiral conformations which interconvert slowly (or not at all) on the time-scale of the deprotonation (and/or the lifetime of the intermediate lithiated epoxide, cf. 1-Li, Scheme 1) could result in an erosion of ee, or a channelling to products other than the desired bicyclic alcohol.

The first conditions examined for the rearrangement of epoxide 7 used $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 2.4 equiv.) and ( - )-sparteine 3 ( 2.5 equiv.) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organolithium $\cdot$ sparteine complex was preformed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the epoxide 7 was added slowly as a solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, without allowing any warming to occur. After 5 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. From this reaction three compounds were isolated (Scheme 3).


Encouragingly, the major product of this initial reaction was the desired bicyclic alcohol $9\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+26.4\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$, isolated in $51 \%$ yield. The two by-products were subsequently identified as the alkene $\mathbf{1 0}\left\{\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{s}, 23 \%\right.$ yield, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-34.3$ (c 1.0, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$, arising from reductive alkylation, ${ }^{16}$ and the ketone $11\left\{8 \%\right.$ yield, $\left.[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+5.2\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$ resulting from $\alpha$-ring opening of the carbenoid intermediate followed by insertion into the LiOC-H bond. ${ }^{17}$ The asymmetric induction in the desired bicyclic alcohol 9 in this initial rearrangement was determined by chiral HPLC on the 2,6-dibenzoylated derivative of the corresponding triol 12 and was found to be in $73 \%$ ee. The rearrangement of unfunctionalised cyclooctene oxide $\mathbf{1}$ under similar conditions gave bicyclic alcohol 2 in $70 \%$ ee. ${ }^{5}$ The similarity between these two values indicates that lack of conformational mobility in epoxide 7 , as observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, did not affect the enantioselectivity. Importantly, this result suggests that whilst epoxide 7 may exist in at least two (enantiomeric) conformations at the temperature at which the reaction is conducted, and even though these might not interconvert within the timescale of the deprotonation, it is not crucial that the organolithium•sparteine complex sees a truly meso-species


Fig. 2


Fig. 3
for the deprotonation. This may be due to the approach of the organolithium-sparteine complex to the unsubstituted face of the rigid epoxide (Fig. 2) where the conformation of the eight membered ring has little impact. Certainly, the levels of asymmetric induction with the range of achiral 1,2-disubstituted epoxides that we have studied to date are broadly similar.

An intriguing question relates to whether conformational interconversion can occur within the lifetime of the lithiated epoxide. If the conformation of the ring does not dictate the regioselectivity of deprotonation, then conformational interconversion is required if all the lithiated epoxide is to have the possibility to undergo a transannular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion (Fig. 3, carbon whose CH bond is undergoing insertion highlighted by ${ }^{\circ}$ ). The potential for a ligand to prolong the lifetime of a lithiated epoxide has been recently reported, ${ }^{18}$ and in the present case this could be an important factor in promoting the desired transannular reaction over other decomposition pathways potentially available to the lithiated epoxide (vide infra). ${ }^{4,16}$

Proof that the stereochemical course of events from cycloocta-1,5-diene 5 to alcohol (+)-9, is as discussed above, was provided by the following transformations; desilylation of alcohol (+)-9 (of $80 \%$ ee) using $\mathrm{HF}^{19}$ gave triol 12, which was selectively diacetylated at the secondary hydroxy groups and the resulting tertiary alcohol 13 was deoxygenated ${ }^{20}$ to give the diacetate 14 (Scheme 4), which has been used in prostaglandin syntheses. ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{HF}(40 \%$ aq. $), \mathrm{MeCN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; iii, $\mathrm{ClCOCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, DMAP, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; iv, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, toluene, $\Delta, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectral data of diacetate 14 indicated a symmetric compound ( 6 signals) and were in agreement with the data previously reported for the endo,endo, cis-fused system, ${ }^{22}$ and differed significantly from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data of the exo,exo,cis-fused diacetate. ${ }^{21}$ The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer of the alcohol $(+)-9$, obtained with $(-)$-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$, is as shown in Scheme 3 and was established by polarimetric comparison for diacetate $14\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-78.7\left(c 1.0\right.\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit., ${ }^{21}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+104.3$ ( $c 1.0$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) for $1 S, 2 R, 5 S, 6 R$ isomer $\}$. The sense of asymmetric induction observed in bicyclic alcohol 9 using RLi-3

Table 1 Effect of experimental conditions on the rearrangement of epoxide 7

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RLi | Ligand | T/ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{9}: \mathbf{1 0}: \mathbf{1 1}^{\text {b }}$ | Yield 9 (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ee 9 (\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 10 Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 11 Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{Li}$ | 3 | -78 | 57:32:11 | 51 | +73 | 23 | 8 |
| 2 | PriLi | 3 | -78 | 55: $25: 20$ | 52 | +76 | 19 | 15 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{n} \mathrm{Li}$ | 3 | -78 | 51:37: 12 | 47 | +39 | 22 | 6 |
| 4 | PhLi | 3 | -78 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $12^{f}$ | +32 | - | - |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 3 | -90 | 69:21:10 | 59 | +73 | 18 | 9 |
| 6 | PriLi | 3 | -90 | 62: $28: 10$ | 57 | +80 | 25 | 7 |
| $7{ }^{8}$ | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 3 | -78 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $12^{h}$ | +70 | 1 | 0 |
| $8^{i}$ | $\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{Li}^{\text {L }}$ | 3 | -78 | 57: $33: 10$ | 51 | +75 | 20 | 8 |
| $9^{j}$ | Bus Li | 3 | -78 | 49: $41: 10$ | 35 | +66 | 29 | 7 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | - | -78 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $18^{k}$ | 6 | 16 | 1 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 15 | -78 | 46: 40 : 14 | 43 | - | 38 | 13 |
| 12 | BusLi | 16 | -78 | 90:0:10 | 70 | - | 0 | 10 |
| 13 | Bus Li | 17 | -78 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $25^{1}$ | - | 8 | 2 |
| 14 | Bu'Li | $3^{m}$ | -78 | 58:32:10 | 46 | +71 | 25 | 9 |
| 15 | Bu'Li | $3{ }^{n}$ | -78 | 54:38:8 | 50 | +70 | 29 | 12 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{Li}$ | 4 | -78 | 73: $17: 10$ | 65 | +77 | 9 | 5 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{Li}$ | 4 | -78 | 78:15:7 | 62 | +77 | 12 | 6 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 4 | -90 | 70: $23: 7$ | 71 | +84 | 18 | 6 |
| 19 | PriLi | 4 | -90 | 83: 10 : 7 | 72 | +89 | 8 | 7 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 19 | -78 | 100:0:0 | $15^{\circ}$ | -52 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{Li}$ | 19 | -78 | 100:0:0 | $49^{p}$ | -52 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions carried out in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\sim 0.03 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right.$ in epoxide 7) unless indicated otherwise. ${ }^{b}$ Ratios determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{c}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{d}$ Determined by chiral HPLC, negative values correspond to enrichment in ( - )-alcohol 9. ${ }^{e}$ Unreacted starting epoxide 7 prevented ratio determination. ${ }^{f} 20 \%$ based on recovered starting material (brsm). ${ }^{g} 0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ in epoxide $7 .{ }^{h} 26 \%$ brsm. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{OMe}$ as solvent. ${ }^{j}$ Pentane as solvent. ${ }^{k} 27 \%$ brsm. ${ }^{l} 34 \%$ brsm. ${ }^{m} 1.4$ equiv. used. ${ }^{n} 5$ equiv. used. ${ }^{o} 48 \% \mathrm{brsm} .{ }^{p} 66 \% \mathrm{brsm}$.
with epoxide 7 parallels all our previous observations on enantioselective $\alpha$-deprotonation rearrangement of epoxides using the sparteines, where proton removal at the $R$-epoxide stereocentre is consistently seen (Fig. 2). ${ }^{5,23}$ The predominant sense of asymmetric induction in the by-products arising from $\alpha$-deprotonation (alkene 10 and ketone 11) is therefore tentatively assigned as that shown in Scheme 3. Products from rearrangements of related epoxides discussed later in this paper are also assigned by analogy as being derived from proton removal at the $R$-epoxide stereocentre when using the sparteines.

Several other reaction conditions (variation of RLi, ligand and temperature) were then examined using epoxide 7 (Table 1), with the aim of optimising the product yield/profile and asymmetric induction with respect to alcohol 9 .

Of the organolithiums examined with $(-)$-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$, it was found that as in our earlier studies, ${ }^{5}$ secondary alkyllithiums were most effective (Table 1, entries 1-4). With the secondary alkyllithiums it was found that reducing the reaction temperature from $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ improves the proportion of alcohol 9 in the crude product, leading to better isolated yields of alcohol 9 (Table 1, entries 5 and 6), and with $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ the ee also improved to $80 \%$.

Having confirmed that secondary organolithiums give the best results, a brief study into the effect of solvent was carried out. For the rearrangement of cyclooctene oxide $\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has been shown to give the best results. ${ }^{5}$ However, highly enantioselective $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{s} \mathrm{Li}-(-)$-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$ reactions have been carried out in hydrocarbon solvents or $\mathrm{Bu}^{1} \mathrm{OMe} .^{6}$ Firstly, a dilution experiment was carried out to see if formation of alkene $\mathbf{1 0}$, which requires attack by a second equivalent of RLi , would be less favoured. However, when the reaction was run at $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ in epoxide 7 , the reaction was retarded significantly and $54 \%$ of starting material was recovered (Table 1, entry 7), which prevented a conclusion on the effect of concentration on product profile. The use of $\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{OMe}$ rather than $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ made no difference (Table 1, entries 1 and 8 ), but the use of pentane resulted in lower ee and a higher proportion of alkene (Table 1, entry 9). Thus all further reactions in the current study used $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent.
When no ligand was present (Table 1, entry 10) the reaction did not go to completion and the isolated yield of the two
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Fig. 4 Ligands 15-19.
principal products, alcohol 9 and alkene 10, remained close to $1: 1$. The addition of TMEDA 15 resulted in an increase in reactivity, with the reaction now proceeding to completion (Table 1, entry 11). However, the ratio of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ was close to $1: 1$. These early results without a ligand, or with sparteine $\mathbf{3}$ or TMEDA 15, indicate that the product profile derived from the intermediate lithiated epoxide (cf. 1-Li, Scheme 1) is influenced by the choice of ligand; that is the lithiated epoxide should not be considered in isolation, but rather as a ligand associated complex. The use of PMDETA 16 (Fig. 4) was then examined, since the tridentate nature of this ligand might influence aggregation in the intermediate complex, ${ }^{24}$ and result in a change of selectivity. This was indeed the case with a dramatic alteration in the product profile: no alkene $\mathbf{1 0}$ was detected in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and a 9: 1 ratio of alcohol $\mathbf{9}$ to ketone $\mathbf{1 1}$ was observed (Table 1, entry 12). In order to test whether this effect was general for tridentate ligands or specific to PMDETA 16, two other commercially available tridentate ligands were also studied. In the presence of PMDPTA 17 (Fig. 4) the reaction did not proceed to completion, with $27 \%$ of starting epoxide 7 recovered (Table 1, entry 13). The ratio of alcohol 9 to alkene 10
was $3: 1$ which, while not as impressive as the result with PMDETA 16 did show a tendency for formation of the desired alcohol 9 when compared to TMEDA 15. Another tridentate ligand, the cyclic triamine 18, appeared to form an insoluble complex with $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ and, possibly as a result of this, only unreacted starting epoxide 7 was recovered (79\%).

Tridentate ligand PMDETA 16 changed the selectivity of the reaction in favour of the desired alcohol 9 . With the aim of altering the nature of the aggregation in the presence of $(-)$-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$, two reactions were carried out where the ratio of $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ to ( - -sparteine $\mathbf{3}$ was varied. However, these changes in the equivalents of $(-)$-sparteine 3 made very little difference to the crude ratios, isolated yield, or ee of the alcohol 9 (Table 1, compare entries 1,14 and 15). Reactions were carried out with (-)- $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 (prepared from the monohydrate in situ) using both $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ and $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entries 16-19), so as to provide a full comparison with ( - )-sparteine 3 (Table 1, entries 1, 2, 5 and 6).

The use of $(-)$ - $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 generally gave a higher ee and isolated yield of the alcohol $\mathbf{9}$, with the crude ratios reflecting this improved selectivity. The trends match those observed with ( - -sparteine 3 with a drop in temperature resulting in slightly better yields and ees for the desired product; a switch from $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ to $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ gave the highest levels of ee. In the present study, the best conditions for this transformation were therefore $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}$ with $(-)-\alpha$-isosparteine 4 at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which gave alcohol $(+)-9$ in $72 \%$ yield and $89 \%$ ee (Table 1, entry 19).

We previously introduced $C_{2}$-symmetric bisoxazolines [such as (-)-19] as ligands for alkyllithiums in enantioselective deprotonation (of cyclooctene oxide 1). ${ }^{5}$ The use of $\mathrm{PhLi}^{23}$ with bisoxazoline $(-)-19$ resulted only in recovery of $7(73 \%)$, whereas using $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ gave only a $15 \%$ yield of alcohol ( - )-9 in $52 \%$ ee (Table 1 , entry 20 ). $\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{Li}$ has been shown to form an effective complex with bisoxazolines, ${ }^{25}$ and the use of $\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{Li}$ with bisoxazoline $(-)-19$ resulted in an improved yield of alcohol (-)-9 but with unchanged ee (Table 1, entry 21). This short study shows the potential for the use of bisoxazolines to obtain the $(2 R)-(-)$-enantiomer of alcohol 9 . Interestingly, only the desired alcohol 9 and recovered starting epoxide 7 were isolated from these reactions, with no alkene 10 being observed. We had previously observed no alkene side-product when bisoxazolines were used in the asymmetric deprotonation of $N$-Boc 7 -azanorbornene $\ddagger$ oxide. ${ }^{23}$

The effect of varying the position and relative configuration of substituents on the desymmetrisation process was next examined. The known hemiacetal 21 was first prepared by baseinduced rearrangement ${ }^{26}$ of readily available cis,cis-cyclo-octa-1,5-diene dioxide $20^{27}$ (Scheme 5).

Reduction of hemiacetal 21 with either $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ or LSelectride (4 equiv.) in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was unselective, generating a chromatographically inseparable $1: 1$ mixture of cisand (undesired) trans-cyclooct-6-ene-1,4-diol ( $83 \%$ and $63 \%$ yields, respectively). The ratios were determined following bis-silylation ( $80 \%$ ) to the bis ethers 24 and 25, which are easily separable. An attempt to effect a hydroxy-directed reduction of 21 using $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ resulted in no reaction, ${ }^{28}$ suggesting that the hydroxy group was not suitably positioned to facilitate the reduction. As no selectivity had been induced with either a small or a bulky hydride source, the free hydroxy group was first silylated to give enone 22. The reduction of enone 22 with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF proceeded efficiently ( $72 \%$ ) but without selectivity, however L-Selectride gave modest selectivity $(1.7: 1)$ in favour of the alcohol cis-23. The relative configuration was determined by silylation to the bis ethers 24 and 25 followed by epoxidation with MCPBA of the individual isomers. The trans-bis ether 24 gave a single epoxide 26, which was not symmetrical ( 4 methine and 4 methylene signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

[^1]

Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: i, LDA, BuLi, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~h})$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(16 \mathrm{~h})$; ii, TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, 18 h , iii, $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~h})$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(16 \mathrm{~h})$; iv, L-Selectride, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; v, MCPBA, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

NMR spectrum), whereas the cis-bis ether $\mathbf{2 5}$ gave two epoxides 27 and 28 ( $1: 2$ ), which when separated ( $27 \%$ and $54 \%$ yields, respectively) were both symmetrical ( 2 methine and 2 methylene signals in each ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum). In an attempt to alter the selectivity of the epoxidation reaction the temperature was raised from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, but this did not alter the ratio of products or the yield. By lowering the temperature to $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction was simply closed down, with only starting material recovered. Changing the reaction solvent from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to toluene at room temperature lowered the selectivity to $5: 6$, $\mathbf{2 7}-\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $71 \%$ yield). Selectivity for the formation of $\mathbf{2 7}$ or $\mathbf{2 8}$ was not probed further, as the rearrangement chemistry of both meso-epoxides 27 and $\mathbf{2 8}$ was of interest.

The rearrangement of epoxide 27 was first examined using $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ and (-)-sparteine 3 at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ascertain whether the rearrangement would give the expected products (Scheme 6 and


Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: i, RLi (2.4 equiv.), ligand (2.45 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78$ or $-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~h})$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~h})$; ii, $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, MeCN, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

Table 2). Indeed, the alcohol 29 was produced in $47 \%$ yield and $72 \%$ ee $\left\{[a]_{D}^{23}+1.1\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$, with the only by-product being subsequently identified as alkene $30\left\{\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{s}, 18 \%\right.$ yield, $[a]_{D}^{23}-24.1\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$. For epoxide 27 the best conditions found were using $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} L i}$ and ( - -sparteine 3, resulting in 70\%
yield and $84 \%$ ee of the desired alcohol 29 (Table 2, entry 5). This yield and level of enantioselectivity compares well with the best results for the rearrangement of epoxide 7 (Table 1, entry $19,72 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ ee). With epoxide 27 there is little difference in the level of enantioselection using ( - )-sparteine 3 or $(-)-\alpha$-isosparteine 4 , but the yields are higher in the presence of (-)-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$. The alkene by-product $\mathbf{3 0}$ was typically present in $10-20 \%$ yield. Desilylation of bicyclic alcohol 29 afforded the meso triol 31 quantitatively, which establishes the relative configuration of the precursor epoxide 27.

Interestingly, the rearrangement of epoxide $\mathbf{2 8}$ resulted in 2 principal products, the ratio between them being strongly influenced by the ligand present (Scheme 7, Table 3). In the absence


Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: i, RLi (2.4 equiv.), ligand, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, -78 or $-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~h})$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~h})$; ii, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$, EtOAc, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h ; iii, HF, MeCN, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.
of a ligand, bicyclic alcohol 32 was observed as the minor product, with allylic alcohol 33 as the major product ( $\mathbf{3 2}$ 33, $16: 84$, Table 3, entry 1). Bicyclic alcohol 32 and allylic alcohol $\mathbf{3 3}$ arising from the rearrangement of epoxide $\mathbf{2 8}$ are inseparable by flash chromatography. However, allylic alcohol 33 is easily removed by palladium-catalysed isomerisation ${ }^{29}$ to ketone 34, allowing isolation of the bicyclic alcohol 32 Deprotection of bicyclic alcohol 32 gave triol 35, which was clearly not meso $\left\{8\right.$ resonances in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+3.1$ (c 1.0 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ \}. When TMEDA was used as ligand, only allylic

Table 2 Effect of experimental conditions on the rearrangement of epoxide 27

| Entry | RLi | Ligand | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | 29 Ee (\%) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ |  | -78 | $31^{c}$ | - |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{\boldsymbol{s} L i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | -78 | 47 | +72 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L} L i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | -78 | 54 | +80 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | -90 | 58 | +76 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L} L i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | -90 | 70 | +84 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | -90 | 52 | +78 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L} L i}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | -90 | 48 | +82 |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by chiral HPLC. ${ }^{c} 47 \%$ based on recovered starting material.
alcohol 33 was detected. The proportion of bicyclic alcohol 32 [e.g. with PriLi, Table 3 entry 6; [al] $]_{D}^{23}+11.1$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )] increased when (-)-sparteine 3 was used, although the reaction still favoured allylic alcohol 33 (32-33, 45 : 55). Changes in product profile when using an organolithium with $(-)$-sparteine 3 compared with TMEDA 15 have been earlier observed by Beak et al. ${ }^{30}$ These previous results together with the current findings suggest that the nature of organolithium complexes formed with TMEDA 15 can be very different to the corresponding complexes formed using ( - )-sparteine 3. TMEDA 15 is less hindered compared with ( - )-sparteine 3, and so it was considered that the use of the more sterically demanding ( - - $\alpha$-isosparteine $4^{5}$ would further favour the formation of the bicyclic alcohol 32. Indeed, the use of $(-)$ - $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 and Bu ${ }^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ did result in an $87: 13$ ratio in favour of bicyclic alcohol 32, with the alcohol 32 being produced in $83 \%$ ee (Table 3, entry 5). When the allylic alcohol 33 was removed by palladium-catalysed isomerisation an isolated yield of $56 \%$ of the bicyclic alcohol $\mathbf{3 2}$ was achieved. With $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ the ratio was slightly less favourable at $72: 28$ (Table 3, entry 6).
Allylic alcohols were not observed as byproducts in the rearrangements of epoxides $\mathbf{1 , 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 7}$, either in the absence of ligands, or in the presence of TMEDA or sparteine. The observation of allylic alcohol 33 in the desymmetrisation of epoxide 28 indicates that appropriate positioning of ring substituents can significantly alter the reaction course in these rearrangements. Our results with epoxide 28 using TMEDA and the sparteines show that different diamines can be used to direct the reaction to different products. ${ }^{30}$ Although allylic alcohol 33 may be (partly) formed by a $\beta$-deprotonation-elimination process, the fact that the ees are similar for bicyclic alcohol 32 and allylic alcohol 33 [at least when using ( - -sparteine 3] suggest that they may both be derived from $\alpha$-lithiation of epoxide 28 (compare 1-Li, Scheme 1) followed by transannular or adjacent C-H insertion, respectively. ${ }^{31}$ Whilst the difference in ees of the bicyclic alcohol $\mathbf{3 2}$ and allylic alcohol 33 are greater with ( - )- $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 (Table 3, entries 5 and 6 ) than with ( - )-sparteine 3, we have previously observed partitioning to different products of enantiomeric $\alpha$-lithiated epoxides in the presence of chiral (non racemic) bases, giving rise to two products of different ees. ${ }^{5}$ Intriguingly, the use of bisoxazoline (-)-19 (Fig. 2) with epoxide $\mathbf{2 8}$ changes the selectivity, producing only the allylic alcohol 33, albeit in modest yield and ee (Table 3, entry 7). The fact that the allylic alcohol 33 in this latter reaction has the same sign of specific rotation as 33 produced from the reaction in the presence of $(-)$-sparteine 3 (Table 3, entry 3) was surprising, as the predominant sense of asymmetric induction on $\alpha$-deprotonation is opposite with the sparteines and bisoxazoline ( - )-19 in all the previous epoxide substrates (1, 7 and $N$-Boc-7-azanorbornene oxide). ${ }^{5,23}$ The result with epoxide 28 and bisoxazoline ( - )-19 could indicate that the ligand induces a $\beta$-deprotonation-elimination process with this substrate.
The results of examining other functionalised medium-sized cycloalkene-derived epoxides $\mathbf{3 6 - 3 8}$ proved unsuccessful (the preparation and characterisation of epoxides 36-38 (Fig. 5) are described in the ESI). $\dagger$ When epoxide 36 was treated with

Table 3 Effect of experimental conditions on the rearrangement of epoxide 28

| Entry | RLi | Ligand | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ of 32-33 | 32-33 | $32 \mathrm{Ee}(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | $33 \mathrm{Ee}(\%)^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{Li}$ | - | -90 | 43 | 16:84 | - | - |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 15 | -90 | 70 | 0: 100 | - | - |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{Li}$ | 3 | -90 | 60 | 45:55 | +71 | +62 |
| 4 | Pri'i | 3 | -90 | 44 | 45:55 | +73 | +70 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{Li}$ | 4 | -90 | 75 | 87:13 | +83 | +61 |
| 6 | PriLi | 4 | -90 | 44 | 72: 28 | +85 | +60 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 19 | -78 | $34^{\text {c }}$ | $0: 100$ | - | +37 |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by chiral HPLC. ${ }^{c} 48 \%$ based on recovered starting material.
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Fig. 5 Epoxides 36-39.
organolithium ( $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}$ or $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ ), at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, both in the presence of a diamine ligand [( - -sparteine 3 or TMEDA 15] and in the absence of such a ligand decomposition occurred and no single compound could be isolated. The reasons for this are unclear, although a silyloxy substituent $\beta$ to the lithiated epoxide ( $c f$., 1-Li, Scheme 1) may provide an alternative reaction pathway; perhaps eliminating to form an unstable allene oxide ${ }^{266,32}$ or being eliminated under attack from a second equivalent of organolithium. ${ }^{33}$ Epoxides 37 ( $n=1$ or 2 ) also underwent decomposition to an uncharacterisable mixture of products, whereas solid epoxides $\mathbf{3 8}(n=1$ or 2 ) were insoluble in hexane or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at low temperatures and were inert to the reaction conditions (decomposition was observed in THF or benzene) That the dioxolane protecting group might be unstable to the reaction conditions is confirmed by treating acetal $39{ }^{34}$ with $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li} \cdot(-)$-sparteine 3 in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Decomposition was observed. Quenching the reaction after 2.5 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that decomposition was already underway. We recovered $50 \%$ of the substrate mass (as a $1: 1$ mixture of cyclooctanone- $\mathbf{3 9}$ ).

In the second strategy, examining heterocycloalkene-derived achiral epoxides, an important aspect of the study of transannular reactions of a medium-sized heterocycle concerns the potential problem of preparing the substrate. ${ }^{35}$ However, application of methodology ${ }^{36}$ used in the synthesis of the azacycloundecene system found in manzamine C led to a highly satisfactory route to the azacyclic epoxide 44 (Scheme 8). Thus,


Scheme 8 Reagents and conditions: i, TsCl , pyridine, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~h})$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~h}), 74 \%$; ii, $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}, \mathrm{NAOH}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$, toluene- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 5 h , $62 \%$; iii, Na naphthalenide, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 64 \%$ from 42; iv, $\mathrm{MeCO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~min})$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~h}), 82 \%(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ts}), 87 \%$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Boc}$ ).
cyclisation under dilute conditions of the ditosylate $\mathbf{4 1}$ of the known diol $\mathbf{4 0}$ (readily available from cycloocta-1,5-diene 5) ${ }^{37}$ gave the reduced azonine $\mathbf{4 2}$ in $62 \%$ yield; to our knowledge this is the most efficient cyclisation reported to a simple reduced azonine. ${ }^{35}$

Subjection of the epoxide $\mathbf{4 4}$ derived from reduced azonine 42, to typical asymmetric rearrangement conditions ${ }^{1}[\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{Li}$ (2.4 equiv.) and ( - )-sparteine 3 ( 2.5 equiv.) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , followed by warming to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 h l led only to the recovery of starting epoxide $\mathbf{4 4}$, whereas quenching the reaction with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ led to essentially complete $o$-deuterium incorporation into the tosyl group to give 44-D $(64 \%)$. An attempt to induce reaction at the epoxide group subsequent to ortho-deproton-
ation using double the quantities of reagents indicated above led to no identifiable products; an alternative protecting group was therefore required. In order to avoid deprotonation of the aromatic ring, the protected epoxide $44 \mathrm{a}[\mathrm{R}=2,4,6$-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl (trisyl)] was prepared by an analogous route to the tosyl-protected epoxide 44. However, epoxide 44a proved unreactive [the preparation and characterisation of 44, 44-D and epoxide 44a are described in the ESI]. $\dagger$ Removal of the tosyl group from 41 using sodium naphthalenide ${ }^{36}$ and immediate Boc reprotection of the amine hydrochloride salt, gave the reduced azonine 43 ( $64 \%$ ). Epoxidation provided 45, which could potentially undergo deprotonation with an organolithium either $\alpha$ to the epoxide oxygen, or $\alpha$ to nitrogen. Beak has reported a 6 -exo-tet cyclisation onto an epoxide via deprotonation $\alpha$ to NBoc; the deprotonation site was however also benzylic in this case. ${ }^{38}$ Beak has also reported that the rate of deprotonation of Boc-protected azacycles decreases in moving from pyrrolidine to piperidine to perhydroazepine. ${ }^{39}$ In the event, reaction of the epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 2.4 equiv. in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , followed by warming to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 h ) led to an inseparable 1: 1 mixture of epimers (due to the stereogenic centre in the $\mathrm{Bu}^{s}$ group, vide infra) of ketone 46a ( $48 \%, 70 \%$ based on recovered epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}$, Scheme 9 ).


Scheme 9

In contrast, reaction of the epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$, under the same conditions but in the presence of TMEDA ( 2.5 equiv.), led to the formation of ester $\mathbf{4 7}$ as the major product (47-46a, $8: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; $74 \%$ isolated yield of 47 ). Using ( - )-sparteine $\mathbf{3}$ as the ligand in an otherwise identical experiment gave an equal mixture of $\mathbf{4 6 a}$ and 47 ( $66 \%$ ee for 47). The absolute configurations of the predominant octahydroindolizinol enantiomers are not known but can be tentatively assigned as shown in Scheme 9 by analogy with the selectivity for deprotonation at the $R$ configured epoxide stereocentre with ( - )-sparteine 3 observed in our earlier epoxide studies. Experiments were then carried out to examine the possibility of increasing both the proportion and ee of ester 47 formed from epoxide 45 (Table 4).

Maintaining the reaction at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and then quenching at this temperature gave ester 47 in improved ee ( $74 \%$, Table 4, entry 1), but the ketone 46a predominated. However, repeating the same procedure at $-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ significantly improved the proportion of ester 47 (47-46a, $5: 1)$ and increased the ee of $\mathbf{4 7}$ to $79 \%$ (entry 2). Using $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} L i}$ at $-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave mainly the ester $\mathbf{4 7}(\mathbf{4 7 - 4 6 b}, 10: 1)$ and with the highest level of asymmetric induction ( $89 \%$ ee, entry 3 ), as also observed with our earlier work on cycloalkene-derived epoxides. ${ }^{5}$ Using ( - - $\alpha$-isosparteine 4 as ligand with either $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ or $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ slowed the reaction considerably (entries 4 and 5), particularly in conjunction with $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Li}$; the ees were also reduced compared with the corresponding ( - )-sparteine 3 reactions. In an attempt to allow $\operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}-(-)-\alpha$-isosparteine 4 to completely consume the epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}$, the reaction was left for 40 h at $-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 6), but it still remained only $50 \%$ complete after this time and no change in the ee of ester $\mathbf{4 7}$ was observed. The use of catalytic amounts of ligand was also investigated (entries 7-9) with interesting results. Using $24 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (-)-sparteine $3\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ with respect to $\left.\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} L i}\right)$ high levels of ee ( $82 \%$ ) were still achieved, but the reaction was found to be much slower. In contrast ( - )- $\alpha$-isosparteine $\mathbf{4}$ was more

Table 4 Effect of experimental conditions on the rearrangement of epoxide 45

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ligand | RLi | 45-46-47 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Yield of $47(\%)^{c}$ | Ee of 47 (\%) ${ }^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{e}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 0:62:38 | 32 (20) | +74 |
| 2 | 3 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 8:15:77 | 58 (50) | +79 |
| 3 | 3 | PriLi | 21:7:72 | 57 (49) | +89 |
| 4 | 4 | $\mathrm{Bu}^{s} \mathrm{Li}$ | 83: 0: 17 | 14 | +64 |
| 5 | 4 | PriLi | 65:3:32 | 29 | +79 |
| $6^{f}$ | 4 | PríLi | 54:4:42 | 40 | +78 |
| $7^{8}$ | 3 | PriLi | $30: 26: 44$ | 36 | $+82$ |
| $8^{g}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}$ | $52: 5: 43$ | $33$ | $+77$ |
| $9^{f, g}$ | 4 | PriLi | 25:12:63 | 54 | +89 |

${ }^{a}$ Ratio of ligand-RLi-epoxide 45, 2.45:2.4:1 and carried out at $-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a reaction time of 18 h unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{b}$ Ratios determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{c}$ Yield of $\mathbf{4 7}$ as measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using methyl diphenylacetate as an internal standard. Isolated yields given in parentheses. ${ }^{d}$ Determined by GC (Chrompack chirasil-dex $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID column; $6 \mathrm{psi}, 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{e}$ Carried out at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{f}$ Reaction time $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{g}$ Ratio of ligand-RLi-epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}, 0.24: 2.4$ : 1 .
effective when used in a catalytic fashion (entry 8), with no apparent change in the ee (compare entry 5). Repeating this last reaction but leaving it for 40 h at $-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ allowed the reaction to proceed further to completion and also gave a much higher level of ee (entry 9). For ease of experimental procedure in all the reactions with $(-)-\alpha$-isosparteine 4 in the current work, an additional equivalent of organolithium was used to remove the water of hydration from the ligand, rather than the previously used ${ }^{5}$ pre-treatment of the ligand with $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and addition via cannula to the reaction mixture. The effect of the presence of LiOH on the ee and yield in the rearrangement of cyclooctene oxide 1 was examined: $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 1.4 equiv.) $(-)-\alpha$-isosparteine 4 ( 0.2 equiv., pre-treated with $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gave bicyclic alcohol 2 ( $86 \%$ yield, $84 \%$ ee); $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 1.4 equiv.)-( - )- $\alpha-$ isosparteine 4 ( 0.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(80 \%$ yield, $79 \%$ ee). These results indicate a slight reduction occurs in ee and yield using the in situ method.

The structures of octahydroindolizinols 46 and 47 were assigned by extensive spectroscopic investigations and were later further supported by X-ray crystallographic analysis of ketone 46b. ${ }^{7}$ A mechanistic explanation for the formation of the octahydroindolizinols is that they arise via lithiation $\alpha$ to the epoxide oxygen to give 48, followed by transannular reaction with the $N$-lone pair to give an ammonium ylide 49 and subsequent $[1,2]$ migration of the exocyclic $N$-substituent (Scheme 10 ); direct insertion of the lithiated epoxide into the exocyclic

$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is also possible. Incorporation of the organolithium to give the ketones 46 could occur before or after the transannular reaction. The latter process seems most likely, since reducing the equivalents of organolithium from 2.5 improves (at the expense of conversion of starting epoxide 45) the ratio of ester 47-ketone 46, and in a separate experiment ester 47 could be quantitatively converted to ketone $\mathbf{4 6 b}$ using $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}(1.1$ equiv., $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , followed by warming to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 h ).

In summary, the $\alpha$-deprotonation transannular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion of substituted cyclooctene oxides provide enantioselective access to functionalised bicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2-ols in good yields and ees ( $84-89 \%$ ). In particular, the ready availability of bicyclic alcohol 9 (4 steps from cycloocta-1,5-diene 5) suggests it can be considered as an attractive new precursor in asymmetric synthesis, especially in polycyclopentanoid construction. With hexahydroazonine oxide 45 a novel insertion of the corresponding lithiated epoxide into a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond occurs
leading to a new and enantioselective entry to the important octahydroindolizinols framework.

## Experimental

## General details

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted in flame- or oven-dried apparatus under an atmosphere of argon. Syringes and needles for the transfer of reagents were dried at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to cool in a desiccator over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ before use. Ethers were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl, (chlorinated) hydrocarbons, amines and DMF from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Internal reaction temperatures are reported unless stated otherwise. Reactions were monitored by TLC using commercially available glass-backed plates, pre-coated with a 0.25 mm layer of silica containing a fluorescent indicator (Merck). Organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ unless stated otherwise. Column chromatography was carried out on Kieselgel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Light petroleum refers to the fraction with bp $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[a]_{\mathrm{D}}$ Values are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. IR spectra were recorded as thin films unless stated otherwise. Peak intensities are specified as strong (s), medium (m) or weak (w). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless stated otherwise with Varian Gemini 200, Bruker AC200, Bruker WM250, Bruker WH300, JEOL EX400, Bruker AM500 or Bruker AMX500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.26, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}($ central line of t) 77.0]. Coupling constants $(J)$ are given in Hz. Chiral stationary phase HPLC was performed using a Daicel Chiralpak AD column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) on a Gilson system with 712 Controller Software and a 118 UV-VIS dectector set at 254 nm unless stated otherwise. Retention times for major ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mj}$ ) and minor ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mn}$ ) enantiomers ( mj and mn refer to sparteine reactions) are given in minutes.

## ( $\left.5 R^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)$-5,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooctene $\mathbf{6}^{9}$

$\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(4 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.37 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 49.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added to a stirred solution of cycloocta-1,5-diene $5\left(2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and NMO $(2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF-acetone $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(90 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$, $1: 1: 1)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 16 h before being cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ added. The solution was stirred for a further 1 h , and then the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $\left(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and then these washes were back extracted with EtOAc $\left(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, before all the organic layers were combined, dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give an off-white solid. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in light petroleum) gave ( $1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}$ )-cyclooct-5-ene-1,2-diol $(682 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%)$ as a white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{40} 104.5-$ $\left.106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2\left(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

3306br, 2928s, $1041 \mathrm{~m}, 1029 \mathrm{~m}$ and $734 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(200 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.80-$ $5.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H})$ and $2.58-1.75\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$.

TBDMSCl ( $703 \mathrm{mg}, 4.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of ( $1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}$ )-cyclooct-5-ene-1,2-diol ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $672 \mathrm{mg}, 9.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, saturated aq. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) and brine ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The organic layer was dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (light petroleum) gave the alkene $\mathbf{6}$ ( $561 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a clear oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.5$ (light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3017 \mathrm{w}, 2930 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1252 \mathrm{~m}$, 1051s and 832s; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(200$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 5.80-5.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.91-1.41\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.88\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$ and $0.03(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe})$.

## $\left(1 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}\right)$-9-Oxabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane-4,5-diol 8

MCPBA ( $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ pure; 70.0 g , 203 mmol ) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of cycloocta-1,5-diene $\mathbf{5}\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$, $366 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(42.0 \mathrm{~g}, 396 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1400$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring over 17 h , before being cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aq. NaOH ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}, 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added slowly. The organic layer was separated, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until the washings were neutral, dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Reduced pressure distillation gave the monoepoxide ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ) as a clear liquid; bp $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{mmHg})\left[\right.$ lit., ${ }^{11}$ 64-66 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{mmHg})\right] ; R_{\mathrm{f}}$ $0.61\left(50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3006 \mathrm{~s}$, 2910 s , $2838 \mathrm{~m}, 1657 \mathrm{w}, 1486 \mathrm{~m}, 1446 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1429 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(200 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.61-$ $5.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$ and $2.46-2.00\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$.
$\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(4 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a stirred solution of the above monoepoxide ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 80.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NMO ( $24.0 \mathrm{~g}, 205 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1: 1\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 h before being cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was added. After filtration the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and transferred to a liquid-liquid extractor and continuously extracted with EtOAc for 24 h , then with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 72 h . The combined organic phases were dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave the epoxydiol $\mathbf{8}$ ( $9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 132-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.25(10 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $v_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3296 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2986 \mathrm{~s}, 2961 \mathrm{~s}$, $1461 \mathrm{~m}, 1100 \mathrm{~m}$ and $792 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO) $4.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 4.4, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{OH}), 3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 8.0$ and $4.4, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$ and 1.88 $1.31\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) $74.6(\mathrm{COH})$, $55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $23.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## ( $1 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}$ )-4,5-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-oxabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane 7

Method 1. MCPBA ( $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ pure; $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of alkene $\mathbf{6}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 5.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(70 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was removed and the solution was stirred for 30 min before $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} ; 160 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was slowly added. The organic layer was separated and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until the washings were neutral, before drying and evaporation under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the epoxide $7(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) as a clear oil which solidified on standing in the refrigerator over several days; mp $37-38{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.4\left(10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2928 \mathrm{~s}, 2856 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~s}, 1462 \mathrm{~s}, 1388 \mathrm{~m}, 1362 \mathrm{~m}$, $1252 \mathrm{~s}, 1109 \mathrm{~s}, 1047 \mathrm{~s}, 1005 \mathrm{~s}, 957 \mathrm{~s}, 833 \mathrm{~s}$, 775 s and $672 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(500$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene) $3.98-3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$,
2.64-2.61 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.49(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.94\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$, $0.09(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.04(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(125$ $\mathrm{MHz}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene) $77.6(\mathrm{COSi}), 54.5(\mathrm{COC}), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $\left.26.0(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} 3), 22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.2(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe})_{3}\right),-4.6$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and $-4.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 387(55 \%), 371$ (95), 239 (100), 132 (75) and 107 (45) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2758. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ ( requires $M, 387.2751$ ).

Method 2. Epoxydiol 8 ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl ( 9.9 g , 65 mmol ) and imidazole ( $33.5 \mathrm{~g}, 131 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) for 18 h . The solution was diluted with water ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a clear oil. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the epoxide $7(10 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a clear oil which solidified on standing in the refrigerator over several days.

## General procedure for the reaction of RLi with epoxides 7, 27 and

 28Distilled ligand ( 2.5 equiv.) was added dropwise over 10 min to a stirred solution of RLi ( 2.4 equiv.) in solvent at low temperature [for ( - )- $\alpha$-isosparteine $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, RLi (4.9 equiv.) was added dropwise over 10 min to a stirred solution of $(-)$ - $\alpha$-isosparteine $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2.5 equiv.)]. After stirring for 1 h at low temperature a solution of epoxide ( 1 equiv.) in solvent was added over 15 min . The solution was then stirred at low temperature for a further 5 h , before being allowed to slowly warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ was added dropwise. The organic layers were washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\times 2)$ and brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure, followed by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) of the residue.

## Products from rearrangement of epoxide 7 following the general procedure

( $2 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}$ )-5,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)bicyclo-[3.3.0]octan-2-ol 9. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32\left(20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3392 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{w}, 2955 \mathrm{~s}, 2930 \mathrm{~s}, 2858 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1257 \mathrm{~m}, 1067 \mathrm{~m}$, 833 s and 774 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.99-3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.2, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.48-2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.99-$ $1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 0.97\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.90$ $\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.14$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 96.1$ $(\mathrm{COSi}), 79.6(\mathrm{COH}), 73.0(\mathrm{COSi}), 58.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} e_{3}\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.0$ $\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-2.7(\mathrm{SiMe}),-2.9(\mathrm{SiMe}),-4.5$ (SiMe) and -4.9 (SiMe); $m / z$ (CI) 387 ( $85 \%$ ), 255 (100), 239 (25), 140 (45), 138 (50), 121 (95), 92 (45) and 91 (45) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 387.2745 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$, requires $M, 387.2751$ ). The ee was determined on the 2,6-dibenzoylated derivative $\dagger$ of the corresponding triol 12 by chiral HPLC ( 30 : $70 \mathrm{EtOH}-$ hexane, $0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mj}, 21.3 ; t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mn}, 23.0 .[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+26.4(c 1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) for sample of $73 \%$ ee.
( $5 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-1-sec-Butyl-5,6-bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-
cyclooctene $10\left(\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right)$. An unseparated mixture of stereoisomers at the sec-butyl 2-position; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.80\left(20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2956 \mathrm{~s}, 2929 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1462 \mathrm{~m}$, $1252 \mathrm{~m}, 1072 \mathrm{~m}, 834 \mathrm{~s}$ and 774 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.45-4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.87-0.72(17 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 0.92-0.87\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$ and $0.09-0.02(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 145.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 123.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $123.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 81.1(\mathrm{CHO}), 81.0(\mathrm{CHO}), 68.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 44.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{SiCMe} e_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{SiCMe} e_{3}\right)$,
$25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.4(\mathrm{SiCMe}), 18.8$ $\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 11.7(\mathrm{Me}), 11.5(\mathrm{Me}),-4.6(\mathrm{SiMe}),-4.7(\mathrm{SiMe})$, $-4.8(\mathrm{SiMe})$ and $-5.0(\mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 444\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, 5 \%\right)$, 295 (15), 180 (40) and 163 (100).
( $5 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-5,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-isopropylcyclooctene 10 ( $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{P r}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.90$ (light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $2929 \mathrm{~s}, 2858 \mathrm{~s}, 1639 \mathrm{w}, 1475 \mathrm{~s}, 1463 \mathrm{~s}, 1387 \mathrm{~s}, 1360 \mathrm{~s}, 1255 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1122 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(250 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J 12.5$, vinyl-H), $3.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J 5.3, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10$ and $1.5, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})), 3.09$ ( 1 H , sept, $J 7.2, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $2.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, qd, $J 12.2$ and 4.5 , $\left.\mathrm{C} H_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tt}, J 13.4\right.$ and $\left.3.8, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 13.0$ and $\left.3.8, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.00-1.40$ $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.1, \mathrm{CMe}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 1.00(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J 7.1, \mathrm{CMe}_{\mathrm{A}} M e_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 0.90\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$, $0.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Si}_{2} e_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 0.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{\mathrm{A}} M e_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 0.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Si} M e_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ and $0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{\mathrm{A}} M e_{\mathrm{B}}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 149.9$ (=C), 124.5 (=CH), 80.7 (CO), 77.6 (CO), 39.2, 35.2, 28.5, 26.5 $\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 25.0,22.8\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 20.5$, 19.0, 18.5, $-4.0\left(\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{Me}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{B}}\right),-4.4\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{\mathrm{A}} M e_{\mathrm{B}}\right),-4.4\left(\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ and $-4.6\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{\mathrm{A}} M e_{\mathrm{B}}\right) ; m / z$ (CI) 412 (5\%), 281 (30) and 149 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 412.3194 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M$, 412.3193).
( $4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ )-4,5-Bis $($ tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooctanone
11. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.41$ ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2956 \mathrm{~s}$, $2940 \mathrm{~s}, 1705 \mathrm{~m}, 1483 \mathrm{~m}, 1461 \mathrm{~m}, 1253 \mathrm{~m}, 1061 \mathrm{~m}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $776 \mathrm{~s} ;$ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.86-3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$, 2.30-2.23 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 0.91\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.88\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.08(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}), 0.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}), 0.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.02(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 210.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $77.2(\mathrm{COSi}), 74.1(\mathrm{COSi})$, $43.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 22.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} \mathrm{S}_{3}\right),-4.6(\mathrm{SiMe}),-4.7(\mathrm{SiMe}),-4.9$ (SiMe) and -5.0 (SiMe); $m / z$ (CI) 387 ( $100 \%$ ), 272 (25), 255 (55), 132 (55), 74 (45) and 72 (70) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 387.2749$ $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 387.2751$ ).

## (1S,2S,5S,6S)-Bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-1,2,6-triol 12

HF ( $40 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of bicyclic alcohol $9[40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}(80 \%$ ee, Table 1, entry 6)] in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After 1 h the reaction was neutralised with the minimum amount of aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( EtOAc ) gave the triol 12 ( 16 mg , quant.) as a white solid; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.1$ (EtOAc); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3291 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2956 \mathrm{~m}, 2948 \mathrm{~m}, 1463 \mathrm{~m}, 1358 \mathrm{~m}, 1227 \mathrm{~m}, 1125 \mathrm{~m}$, $1080 \mathrm{~m}, 1037 \mathrm{~s}$ and $742 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 4.26-4.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.85-$ $1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H})$ and $1.48-1.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $92.3(\mathrm{COH}), 80.8(\mathrm{COH}), 74.5(\mathrm{COH}), 56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 35.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{CI}) 176(100 \%)$, 159 (10) and 122 (5) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}, 176.1287 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $M, 176.1287$ ).

## (1S,2S,5S,6S)-2,6-Diacetylbicyclo[3.3.0]octan-1-ol 13

$\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to triol $\mathbf{1 2}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction was diluted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layers were dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the tertiary alcohol 13 ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as a clear oil (Found: C, 59.4; H, 7.5. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
requires C, $59.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.5 \%) ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.10\left(50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3460 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2966 \mathrm{~m}, 1735 \mathrm{~s}, 1374 \mathrm{~m}, 1240 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1038 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.37-5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 5.02-4.80$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.55-2.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$, $2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and $2.11-1.43(8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 173.2$ $(\mathrm{CO}), 170.5(\mathrm{CO}), 90.3(\mathrm{COH}), 86.0(\mathrm{CHOAc}), 77.7(\mathrm{CHOAc})$, $53.8(\mathrm{CH}), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.8$ ( MeCO ), 20.6 ( MeCO ).

## (1R,2S,5R,6S)-(-)-2,6-Diacetylbicyclo[3.3.0]octane $14^{14,41}$

$\mathrm{ClCOCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\left(0.045 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise to a solution of tertiary alcohol $\mathbf{1 3}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. A white precipitate formed. After 30 min the reaction was diluted with EtOAc $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude oxalyl ester ( 110 mg ). The crude oxalyl ester was dissolved in toluene ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and AIBN $(7.5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}\left(0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ were added. The reaction was heated at reflux for 1 h , cooled and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $25 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum gradient to $50 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the diacetate $14(27 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%, 60 \%$ based on recovered tertiary alcohol 13) as a clear oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.71$ $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-78.7\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{14}[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+104.3\right.$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) for ( $1 S, 2 R, 5 S, 6 R$ ) isomer]; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2962 \mathrm{~m}, 1734 \mathrm{~s}$, $1375 \mathrm{~m}, 1240 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1057 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.12-5.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.06$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.83-1.67 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and $1.64-$ $1.48\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 170.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $77.2(\mathrm{CHOAc}), 44.6(\mathrm{CH}), 32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and 21.1 ( MeCO ).

## 6-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooct-3-enone 22

9-Oxabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3-en-1-ol $21^{26}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0$ mmol) in DMF ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) were stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The solution was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, saturated aq. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the enone $22(310 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34\left(20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3026 \mathrm{~m}, 2954 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1705 \mathrm{~s}, 1462 \mathrm{~s}$, $1361 \mathrm{~m}, 1254 \mathrm{~s}, 1075 \mathrm{~s}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $776 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.73-5.59$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.8,5.5, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 15.8$ and 5.8 , $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.0$ and $2.1, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 10.0$ and $2.2, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.04-1.85$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $0.88\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 212.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 127.8\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $125.9\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 70.3(\mathrm{COSi}), 44.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$ and -4.9 $(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ the carbonyl carbon was not observed; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{CI}) 272$ $(100 \%), 140$ (100) and 126 (45) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 255.1778$. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M$, 255.1780).

## 6-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooct-3-enol 23

L-Selectride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ in THF; $0.70 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of enone $22(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22$ mmol ) in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 1 h before being allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layers were dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $35 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the alcohol 23 ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ), an inseparable mixture of isomers, as an oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.1\left(20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in
light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3352 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 3022 \mathrm{~m}, 2932 \mathrm{~s}, 2858 \mathrm{~s}$, $1463 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{~m}, 1253 \mathrm{~m}, 1067 \mathrm{~s}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $774 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ 5.75-5.66 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.18\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 1.92-1.55 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and COH$), 0.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}$ ), 0.06 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}$ ) and $0.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100$ MHz) $129.6\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 129.2\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 127.8\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 127.3$ $\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 71.8(\mathrm{CO}), 71.6(\mathrm{CO}), 71.4(\mathrm{CO}), 34.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$ and $-4.9(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z$ (CI) $257\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 35 \%\right), 126(100 \%), 109(60)$ and $72(45)$.

## ( $4 R^{*}, 7 R^{*}$ )- and ( $4 R^{*}, 7 S^{*}$ )-4,7-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooctene compounds 24 and 25

Method 1. $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(122 \mathrm{mg}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 9 -oxabicyclo[4.2.1]non3 -en-1-ol $21^{26}(451 \mathrm{mg}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 4 h before being allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. It was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined aqueous layers were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave an inseparable mixture of cis- and trans-cyclooct-6-ene-1,4-diol ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as an off-white solid; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2(5 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3368 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2923 \mathrm{~m}, 2851 \mathrm{~s}, 1459 \mathrm{~m}$, $1261 \mathrm{~m}, 1031 \mathrm{~m}$ and $800 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 5.78-5.66$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.25\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $1.93-1.36$ $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 130.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 72.6(\mathrm{CHOH}), 72.4(\mathrm{CHOH}), 35.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
Cyclooct-6-ene-1,4-diol ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl $(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole $(1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in DMF $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The solution was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, saturated aq. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}\left(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The title compounds were removed from other impurities by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) and then separated from one another by further chromatography (light petroleum gradient to $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum). First eluted trans-bis ether $24(417 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%)$ as a clear liquid. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.15$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3026w, 2930s, 2858s, 1463m, 1361m, 1255m, 1063s, 836s and 774 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.73-5.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and C(2)H), 3.85$3.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.21\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.87-1.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.35(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 0.89\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.05\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 128.3$ $\left(C_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 72.0(\mathrm{COSi}), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8(2 \times$ $\mathrm{SiCCH})$ ) $18.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$ and $-4.8(4 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI})$ $371(5 \%), 239$ (100), 132 (50), 74 (45) and 72 (60) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 371.2801. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 371.2801$ ). Second eluted cis-bis ether $25(378 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%)$ as a clear liquid. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.05$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3020 \mathrm{w}, 2930 \mathrm{~s}, 2858 \mathrm{~s}$, $1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{~m}, 1254 \mathrm{~m}, 1068 \mathrm{~s}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $774 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ 5.68-5.60 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.21-$ $2.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 0.90(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 128.7(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 71.8(\mathrm{COSi}), 35.1$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe})_{3}\right), 18.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}{ }_{3}\right)$ and $-4.8(4 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 371(20 \%), 256(55), 239(100)$, 132 (40), 124 (25) and 107 (35) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 371.2807$. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 371.2801$ ).

Method 2. Alcohol 23 ( $3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 39.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were
stirred in DMF $\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The solution was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 250$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), saturated aq. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}\left(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and brine $\left(250 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography as in Method 1 gave trans-bis ether $24(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%)$ and cis-bis ether 25 ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ).

## $\left(1 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}\right)-3,6-\operatorname{Bis}($ tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-oxabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane 26

MCPBA ( $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ pure; $173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of trans-bis ether $24(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was removed and the solution was stirred for 1 h , before $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}, 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was slowly added. The organic layer was separated and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until the washings were neutral, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $5 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the epoxide $\mathbf{2 6}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a clear oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3\left(10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2954 \mathrm{~s}$, $2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{w}, 1255 \mathrm{~m}, 1085 \mathrm{~s}, 1060 \mathrm{~s}, 866 \mathrm{~s}, 835 \mathrm{~s}$ and 775 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 4.05-4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$, 2.91-2.86(1 H, m, C(1)H or C(8)H), 2.34-2.28 (2 H, m, C(2)H and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ $\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.88\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$, $0.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 69.2(\mathrm{CHOSi}), 69.1(\mathrm{CHOSi}), 53.2$ ( COC ), $53.0(\mathrm{COC}), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.1$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 25.7(\mathrm{SiCMe})_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.0$ $\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-4.8\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right),-4.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and $-5.0\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (CI) 387 (35\%), 255 (10), 239 (100), 132 (15) and 107 (15) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 387.2747 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 387.2751$ ).

## ( $1 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 6 S^{*}, 8 S^{*}$ )-3,6-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-oxabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane 27 and ( $\left.1 R^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}\right)-3,6$-bis $($ tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-oxabicyclo[6.1.0]nonane 28

MCPBA ( $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ pure; $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of cis-bis ether $25(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was removed and the solution was stirred for 2 h , before $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}, 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was slowly added. The organic layer was separated and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until the washings were neutral, dried, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The title compounds were removed from other impurities by column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) and then separated from one another by further chromatography (gradient elution, $20 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in light petroleum gradient to $100 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). First eluted cis,trans-epoxide $28(46 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a clear oil which solidified on standing in the refrigerator over several days; $\mathrm{mp} 41-43{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.30\left(50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2955 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1464 \mathrm{~m}, 1368 \mathrm{w}, 1255 \mathrm{~m}, 1094 \mathrm{~s}$, $1038 \mathrm{~s}, 864 \mathrm{~m}, 835 \mathrm{~s}$ and $775 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 4.05-3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.37-$ $2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.26(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, $0.90\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 0.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.06(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}_{8}\right.$-toluene) $70.6(\mathrm{COSi}), 52.5$ $\left.(\mathrm{COC}), 35.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe})_{3}\right), 18.4$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-4.6(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $-4.7(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI})$ $387(20 \%), 255(45), 239(25), 132(90), 91$ (35), 74 (80) and 72 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2753. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M$, 387.2751). Second eluted all cis-epoxide 27 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ) as a clear oil which solidified on standing in the refrigerator over several days; $\mathrm{mp} 30-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.25\left(50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2930 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1384 \mathrm{w}, 1257 \mathrm{~m}, 1098 \mathrm{~s}$, $1074 \mathrm{~s}, 866 \mathrm{~m}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $774 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.87-3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.35-$ $2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, $0.89\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right), 0.07(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.06(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 69.4(\mathrm{COSi}), 53.0(\mathrm{COC}), 36.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} e_{3}\right), 18.1(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} 3)$ and $-4.8(4 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 387(40 \%), 255(60), 239(75), 201$ (30), 132 (100), 107 (35), 91 (35) and 74 (45) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2750. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 387.2751$ ).

## Products from rearrangement of epoxide 27 following the general procedure

$\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 S^{*}\right)-4,7-B i s($ tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-bicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2-ol 29. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3$ ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3331 \mathrm{br}$ m, 2929s, 2858s, $1472 \mathrm{w}, 1361 \mathrm{w}, 1256 \mathrm{~m}$, $1123 \mathrm{~m}, 1054 \mathrm{~m}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $775 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 4.28-4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.48-2.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.74(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.90\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.89$ $\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}), 0.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 75.8(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.1(\mathrm{CHO}), 71.6$ (CHO), $47.2(\mathrm{CH}), 45.4(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-4.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}),-4.8(\mathrm{SiMe})$, and $-5.0(\mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 387$ ( $100 \%$ ), 329 (15), 270 (20), 132 (10), 105 (15) and 92 (15) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 387.2756 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 387.2751$ ). The ee was determined on the diol (derived from 2,4-dinitrobenzoylation followed by desilylation using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\dagger$ by chiral HPLC ( $60: 40 \mathrm{EtOH}$-hexane, $0.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mj}, 18.0$; $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mn}, 26.4$.
(4R*, $7 S^{*}$ )-1-sec-Butyl-4,7-bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooctene $30\left(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{B u}^{\boldsymbol{J}}\right)$. As an unseparated mixture of stereoisomers at the sec-butyl 2-carbon; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.8\left(10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2965 \mathrm{~s}, 2922 \mathrm{~s}, 2850 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~m}, 1462 \mathrm{~m}$, $1072 \mathrm{~m}, 839 \mathrm{~s}$ and $743 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.35-4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, 4.18-3.46 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.81-0.78(17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $0.91-0.83\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$ and $0.08-0.01(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times$ $\mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 144.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $144.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, 123.8 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 121.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 73.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.3(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.1$ (CHO), $71.8(\mathrm{CHO}), 44.1(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.9$ (SiCMe3), $25.7\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.1$ $\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 12.3(\mathrm{Me}), 12.1(\mathrm{Me}),-4.6(\mathrm{SiMe})$, -4.7 (SiMe), -4.8 (SiMe) and $-5.0(\mathrm{SiMe})$.
( $4 R^{*}, 7 S^{*}$ ) $\mathbf{~ 4 , 7 - B i s ( t e r t - b u t y l d i m e t h y l s i l y l o x y ) - 1 - i s o p r o p y l - ~}$ cyclooctene $30\left(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{P r}^{\mathbf{r}}\right) . R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.8\left(10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2956 \mathrm{~s}, 2934 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{w}, 1467 \mathrm{~m}, 1067 \mathrm{~m}, 954 \mathrm{~m}, 839 \mathrm{~s}$ and $699 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.41-4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.62(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.87-0.09(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.91-0.83(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{SiC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$ and $0.06-0.02\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 146.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $145.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $123.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $119.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}\right), 78.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 73.6(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.6(\mathrm{CHO}), 71.9$ ( CHO ), $43.2(\mathrm{CH}), 41.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 24.6(\mathrm{Me})$, $22.4(\mathrm{Me}), 21.9(\mathrm{Me}), 21.7(\mathrm{Me}), 18.4\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.2\left(\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$, $18.1(\mathrm{SiCMe} 3),-4.6(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}),-4.8(\mathrm{SiMe})$ and $-5.0(\mathrm{SiMe}) ;$ $m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 430\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, 5 \%\right), 281$ (10), 166 (45) and 149 (100).

## ( $\left.1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 7 R^{*}\right)$-Bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,4,7-triol 31

HF ( $40 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a stirred solution of bicyclic alcohol $(+)-29(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After 1 h the reaction was neutralised with the minimum amount of aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave the meso triol 31
( 4.5 mg , quant.) as a solid; $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23} 0.0(c 0.9, \mathrm{EtOH}) ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.45(20 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3302 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2948 \mathrm{~m}, 1468 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{~m}$, $1123 \mathrm{~m}, 1037 \mathrm{~s}$ and $667 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 4.14-4.06(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$ and $1.99-1.63\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 80.2(\mathrm{CHO}), 74.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 45.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $36.0\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 176(95 \%)$ and 159 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 159.1026. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M$, 159.1021).

## Products from rearrangement of epoxide $\mathbf{2 8}$ following the general procedure

(2S,4R,7S)-4,7-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooct-2-enol 33. Data from Table 3, entry 2: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2\left(20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3315 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{w}, 2931 \mathrm{~s}, 2850 \mathrm{~s}, 1471 \mathrm{~m}, 1358 \mathrm{~m}, 1249 \mathrm{~s}$, $1048 \mathrm{~s}, 835 \mathrm{~m}$ and $774 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 12.1,5.7$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 12.1,5.5, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-1.89 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 1.75-1.59 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$ and COH$), 0.89\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 133.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, $133.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, $70.0(\mathrm{CHO}), 68.6$ ( CHO ), $66.0(\mathrm{CHO}), 45.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-4.8(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and -4.9 ( $2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}$ ); $m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 387(30 \%), 255$ (100), 237 (15) and 132 (20) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2748. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M$, 387.2751).

In all other cases a mixture of bicyclic alcohol $\mathbf{3 2}$ and allylic alcohol 33 were formed. The ee of both alcohols was measured by derivatisation to the diols (derived from 3,5-dinitrobenzoylation followed by desilylation using $\left.\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \dagger$ by chiral HPLC ( $60: 40 \mathrm{EtOH}-$ hexane, $0.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ). For bicyclic alcohol 32 derivative: $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mj}, 10.4 ; t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mn}$, 11.7. For allylic alcohol 33 derivative: $t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mj}, 15.3 ; t_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{mn}, 22.7$. This mixture was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} /$ charcoal in EtOAc under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 1 h . After filtration the mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave bicyclic alcohol 32 and ketone 34.
(1R,2S,4S,5S,7S)-4,7-Bis(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)bicyclo[3.3.0] octan-2-ol 32. $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2$ ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3369 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{w}, 2955 \mathrm{~s}, 2929 \mathrm{~s}, 2856 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{w}, 1361 \mathrm{w}, 1254 \mathrm{~m}$, 1048 s , 835 s and 774 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 4.52-4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 4.33-4.29 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.21$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.88\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right)$, $0.87\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.04(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 77.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 75.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.5(\mathrm{CHO})$, $50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 43.9(\mathrm{CH}), 42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right),-4.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$ and -4.8 $\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 387(55 \%), 346(20), 272$ (100), $255(50)$, 132 (90) and 90 (25) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2752. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M, 387.2751$ ).
( $\mathbf{3 R}, 6 S$ ) $\mathbf{3 , 6}$ - Bis (tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclooctanone 34 . $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.7\left(10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2929 \mathrm{~s}, 2857 \mathrm{~s}$, $1702 \mathrm{~m}, 1472 \mathrm{w}, 1253 \mathrm{~m}, 1077 \mathrm{~m}, 836 \mathrm{~s}$ and $775 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ 3.89-3.84 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78-3.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H})$, 2.97$2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$, 2.26-2.18 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, or $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.93-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}$ ), 0.88 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}$ ), $0.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}), 0.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{SiMe}), 0.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $0.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ $212.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 72.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 70.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 48.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe} 3), 18.0$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{SiCMe}_{3}\right),-4.8(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe})$ and $-4.9(2 \times \mathrm{SiMe}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI})$ 404 ( $80 \%$ ), 387 (100), 272 (60), 255 (35), 197 (15), 132 (25) and

91 (20) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 387.2752. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ requires $M$, 387.2751).

## (1R,2S,4S,5S,7R)-(+)-Bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,4,7-triol 35

HF ( $40 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a stirred solution of bicyclic alcohol $32(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeCN $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After 1 h the reaction was neutralised with the minimum amount of aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave the triol $35(4 \mathrm{mg}$, quant.) as a solid; $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+3.1$ (c 1.1, EtOH); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.45(20 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3273 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2958 \mathrm{~m}, 1469 \mathrm{~m}, 1364 \mathrm{~m}$, $1228 \mathrm{~m}, 1123 \mathrm{~m}, 1055 \mathrm{~s}$ and $682 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 4.46-$ $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H})$ and $1.92-1.40\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 77.6(\mathrm{CHO}), 75.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 72.9(\mathrm{CHO})$, $51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 45.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $35.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (CI) 176 ( $100 \%$ ) and 159 (60) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 159.1019. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M, 159.1021$ ).

## ( $\boldsymbol{Z}$ )-1,8-Bis(4-methylbenzylsulfonyloxy)oct-4-ene 41

$\mathrm{TsCl}(46.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a stirred solution of $(Z)$-oct-4-ene-1,8-diol $40^{37}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 48.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then re-cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right) . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise, then the organic layer was separated and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ then sat. aq. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum) gave the ditosylate 41 ( $16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ) as a viscous, colourless oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.31\left(50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2957 \mathrm{~m}, 1923 \mathrm{w}, 1734 \mathrm{w}, 1654 \mathrm{w}$, $1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1454 \mathrm{~m}, 1354 \mathrm{~s}, 1307 \mathrm{~m}, 1292 \mathrm{~m}, 1173 \mathrm{~s}, 1097 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1018 m ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3,4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3$, $4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 5.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 4.6,2 \times=\mathrm{CH}), 4.02(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 6.3$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.46(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.06-2.01\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.72-1.65\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(125 \mathrm{MHz}) 144.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$, quat.), $133.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$, quat.), $129.8(4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 129.1(2 \times=\mathrm{CH})$, $127.9(4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 69.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 28.6(2 \times=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), 23.0$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $21.6(2 \times \mathrm{Me}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 453\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 452$ (42), 341 (12) and 281 (5) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}, 470.1672$. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ requires $M, 470.1671$ ).

## ( $Z$ )-N-(4-Methylbenzylsulfonyl)-2,3,4,7,8,9-hexahydroazonine 42

A 2 L three-necked round-bottomed flask was equipped with a reflux condenser and two $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ dropping funnels. The flask was charged with toluene ( $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{NaOH}(18.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(44 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. One dropping funnel was charged with a solution of ditosylate $\mathbf{4 1}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21$ mmol ) in toluene ( $125 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the other with a suspension of $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(644 \mathrm{mg}, 3.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( $125 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Both funnels were allowed to drip at the same rate over a period of 2 h into the well-stirred reaction; a gentle reflux $\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was maintained during this time and for a further 2 h (note: during the addition, occasional heating of the $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ in toluene mixture was required in order to maintain a solution). After cooling to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) gave the azacycle 42 ( $383 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a colourless, crystalline solid (Found: C, 64.4; $\mathrm{H}, 7.7$; $\mathrm{N}, 4.9 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 64.5; H, 7.6; $\mathrm{N}, 5.0 \%$ ); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.61$ ( $50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); mp $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether-pentane); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3004 \mathrm{~m}, 2965 \mathrm{~m}, 2941 \mathrm{~m}, 2920 \mathrm{~m}$, $2900 \mathrm{~m}, 2856 \mathrm{~m}, 1596 \mathrm{~m}, 1461 \mathrm{~m}, 1339 \mathrm{~s}, 1298 \mathrm{~m}, 1178 \mathrm{~m}, 1156 \mathrm{~s}$,
$1134 \mathrm{~s}, 1095 \mathrm{~s}, 972 \mathrm{~s}, 879 \mathrm{~m}, 808 \mathrm{~m}$ and $689 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.69$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2,2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.29 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.2,2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.49 ( 2 H , $\mathrm{t}, J 5.6,2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 2.95\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 6.3,2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.43-2.39(7 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $1.86-1.78\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(125 \mathrm{MHz})$ 143.2 (Ar, quat.), 134.3 (Ar, quat.), 130.1 ( $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 129.5 $(2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 53.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 28.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $22.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $21.5(\mathrm{Me}) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 280\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 77 \%\right), 126$ (100) and 124 (87, $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ts}]^{+}$) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 280.1371. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $M$, 280.1371).

## ( $Z$ )-N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2,3,4,7,8,9-hexahydroazonine 43

A freshly prepared solution of sodium naphthalenide in THF ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of Pri'Li azacycle $42(688 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until a permanent blue colouration was apparent and the starting material had been completely consumed as indicated by TLC. HCl gas was bubbled through the mixture for a couple of minutes and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was then triturated several times with $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum to remove the naphthalene. The salt was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the NaCl was filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The trituration procedure was then repeated as above to give ( $\boldsymbol{Z})$-5-azacyclononene hydrochloride ( 408 mg ) as a pale yellow, hygroscopic solid, which was used directly in the next step without further purification; $v_{\text {max }}$ (Nujol)/cm ${ }^{-1} 3391 \mathrm{br} w, 2607 \mathrm{~m}, 2392 \mathrm{w}, 2320 \mathrm{w}, 1715 \mathrm{w}, 1651 \mathrm{w}$, $1576 \mathrm{~m}, 1062 \mathrm{~m}, 949 \mathrm{~m}, 819 \mathrm{~m}$ and $728 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(500 \mathrm{MHz}) 9.18(2 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.66-5.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times=\mathrm{CH}),, 3.22-3.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.46-2.40\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.89-1.83(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(50 \mathrm{MHz}) 130.1(2 \times=\mathrm{C}), 44.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 23.4$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $22.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; m / z(\mathrm{EI}) 125\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 20 \%\right), 124$ (13) and 96 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 125.1204. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $M, 125.1204)$.
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(515 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the amine hydrochloride ( 408 mg , approx. 2.5 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(16.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(806 \mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added, and after 15 h the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with ethylenediamine $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ then stirred for $0.5 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}\left(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right.$, $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) were added and the organic layer was separated. The organic layer was then washed with 1 M KHSO sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, brine $\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the ( $Z$ ) -N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-5-azacyclononene 43 ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$, as a 1:1 mixture of rotamers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis) as a colourless oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.68\left(30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3007 \mathrm{~m}$, $2972 \mathrm{~s}, 2919 \mathrm{~s}, 2859 \mathrm{~m}, 1697 \mathrm{~s}, 1483 \mathrm{~m}, 1412 \mathrm{~m}, 1364 \mathrm{~s}, 1351 \mathrm{~s}$, $1317 \mathrm{~m}, 1227 \mathrm{~m}, 1171 \mathrm{~s}, 1114 \mathrm{~m}, 1026 \mathrm{w}, 867 \mathrm{w}$ and $720 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(500$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 5.54-5.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times=\mathrm{CH}), 3.14-3.08(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.24-2.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.90-1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of rotamer A), $1.81-1.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of rotamer B) and $1.47(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \times \mathrm{Me}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(125 \mathrm{MHz}) 156.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, quat. $)$, 130.1 and $129.5(=\mathrm{C}), 78.9\left(C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$, quat.), 52.6 and 51.9 $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 28.6(\mathrm{Me}), 26.9$ and $25.9(=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}), 22.6$ and $22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{CI}) 226\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 12 \%\right), 187$ (25), 170 (39), 126 (48), 121 (43), 106 (35) and 74 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 226.1807. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires $M$, 226.1807).

## $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-(1 $\left.R^{*}, 9 S^{*}\right)$-5-aza-10-oxabicyclo[7.1.0]decane 45

Peracetic acid ( $36 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in dilute $\mathrm{AcOH} ; 0.490 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred mixture of alkene 43 ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64$ mmol ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(696 \mathrm{mg}, 6.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(8.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for a further $15 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the organic layers were washed with water $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, brine $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and
evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum) gave the epoxide $\mathbf{4 5}$ ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$, as a $1: 1$ mixture of rotamers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis) as a colourless, crystalline solid; $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.24$ ( $40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum); $\mathrm{mp} 44-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-light petroleum) (Found: C, 64.9; H, 9.75; N, 5.9. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires C, 64.7; H, 9.6 ; N, $5.8 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2973 \mathrm{~s}, 2921 \mathrm{~s}, 2863 \mathrm{~m}, 1686 \mathrm{~s}$, $1482 \mathrm{~s}, 1412 \mathrm{~s}, 1391 \mathrm{~m}, 1352 \mathrm{~s}, 1256 \mathrm{~m}, 1231 \mathrm{~m}, 1174 \mathrm{~s}, 1084 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1064 \mathrm{~m} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(500 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.53-3.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHO}), 3.03-2.90$ $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.13-2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH} H), 1.98-1.79$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{H}}\right), 1.46\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$ and 1.41-1.29(2 H, m, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(100 \mathrm{MHz}) 156.2\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$, quat.), $79.4\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right.$, quat.), 58.2 and 58.07 (CHO), 54.5 and $53.7(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 28.5(\mathrm{Me})$, 24.9, 24.7, 24.4 and $23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; ~ m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 242\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 9 \%\right), 186$ (4, $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Bu}^{\dagger}\right]^{+}$) and 142 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 242.1756$. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $M, 242.1756$ ).

## Octahydro-1-tert-butoxycarbonylindolizin-8-ol 47

From Table 4, entry 3: Freshly distilled (-)-sparteine 3 (0.70 $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{3}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise over 0.5 h to a stirred solution of $\operatorname{Pr}^{i} \mathrm{Li}\left(1.09 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right.$ in light petroleum; $\left.0.270 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h at $-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the epoxide $45(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise over 0.5 h . The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at this temperature and then $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added slowly dropwise. After warming to room temperature the organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were dried and then evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in light petroleum gradient to $100 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) gave the ester $47(14.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $49 \%$ ); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56\left(70 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in light petroleum); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{21}+48.6(c 0.3$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); mp 47-48 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether-light petroleum); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3489 br w, 2938s, $2855 \mathrm{~m}, 1724 \mathrm{~s}, 1367 \mathrm{~m}, 1248 \mathrm{~m}, 1159 \mathrm{~s}, 1132 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1102 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(200 \mathrm{MHz}) 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent s, CH), 3.14-2.71 $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.23-2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.84-1.63\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.46(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \times \mathrm{Me})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(50 \mathrm{MHz}) 173.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, quat.), 80.8 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, quat.), 71.3 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \text {, quat.), } 65.9(\mathrm{CHO}), 51.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1(3 \times \mathrm{Me}), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{CI}) 242\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$ and 140 (30) (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 242.1756. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $M$ 242.1756). Data for byproducts:

Octahydro-1-sec-butylcarbonylindolizin-8-ol $\quad$ 46a. $\quad R_{\mathrm{f}} \quad 0.48$ (3:6:1, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum- $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3401 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{w}$, 2938s, $2875 \mathrm{~m}, 1698 \mathrm{~m}, 1462 \mathrm{w}, 1370 \mathrm{w}, 1058 \mathrm{w}$ and $985 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent $\mathrm{t}, J 2.3, \mathrm{CH}), 3.17-2.70(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CH$), 2.15-1.19\left(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and OH$), 1.06$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.7, \mathrm{Me}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.3$, $\mathrm{Me})$ and $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.5, \mathrm{Me}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(50 \mathrm{MHz}) 218.8$, $218.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, quat.), 68.2 ( $C$ - $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, quat.), $66.2,65.9(\mathrm{CHO}), 51.5,51.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 45.2, $45.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.3,42.1(\mathrm{CH}), 29.1,29.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.9,28.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.5,26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.0,20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.4,18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.5$ (Me), 11.7 and $11.5(\mathrm{Me})$.

Octahydro-1-isopropylcarbonylindolizin-8-ol 46b. $\quad R_{\mathrm{f}} \quad 0.62$ $\left(60 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in MeOH$) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3387 \mathrm{br}$ w, $2933 \mathrm{~s}, 2890 \mathrm{~m}, 1703 \mathrm{~s}$, $1455 \mathrm{~m}, 1380 \mathrm{~m}, 1147 \mathrm{~m}, 1075 \mathrm{~m}, 1005 \mathrm{~m}, 976 \mathrm{w}$ and $958 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 4.21-4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.24-3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.11-$ $2.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and CH$), 2.79-2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.21-2.11$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.93-1.75\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70-1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, CH), 1.46-1.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.7, \mathrm{Me})$ and 1.03 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.7, \mathrm{Me}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(50 \mathrm{MHz}) 218.0$ (C=O, quat.), $77.1(C-\mathrm{C}=$ O, quat.), $65.9(\mathrm{CHO}), 51.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2(\mathrm{CH}), 29.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and Me$), 20.1(\mathrm{Me})$ and 18.1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 212\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 18 \%\right), 126(62), 72$ (96) and $70(100)$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 212.1648 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires $M, 212.1650$ ).

Crystal data for 46b are available: CCDC 182/1138. Crystal data are available in .cif format from the RSC website, see http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/309/. ${ }^{7 b}$
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: the preparation and characterisation of derivatives for ee determinations Epoxides 36-38, 44, 44-D and 44a. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/p1/ b1/b105369h/

[^1]:    $\ddagger$ The IUPAC name for norbornane is bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane.

